Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification								Dat	Date: February 2000			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY					R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE Program Element 0603857J All Service							
RDT&E, Defense Wide, Joint Staff/BA 4					Combat Identification Evaluation Team (ASCIET)							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	Cost to	Total		
									Complete	Cost		
Total PE Cost	0	12.914	0	0	0	0	0	0	Continuing	Cont		

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The All Service Combat Identification Evaluation Team (ASCIET) transferred to the Joint Staff during FY 1998. ASCIET was formed from the OSD-Sponsored Joint Air Defense Operations/Joint Engagement Zone (JADO/JEZ) Joint Test and Evaluation Program conducted during FY 1990 through FY 1994. JADO/JEZ tested the ability of Service forces to execute an effective air defense network in a joint tactical environment. In December 1993 the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) directed that the JADO/JEZ Program transition to the ASCIET Program on 1 October 1994. Per Defense Reform Initiative Directive 29, ASCIET will transfer to the US Atlantic Command (Navy is the executive agent in FY 2000. ASCIET is an expanding effort aimed at fostering improved tactics, techniques and procedures (TTP) across all combat identification (CID) mission areas. ASCIET schartered to employ the equipment and personnel of all Services to evaluate, investigate, and assess various concepts of combat identification on the battlefield. The US Air Force is the lead Service. ASCIET also offers federally funded research and development centers (FFRDCs), Service battle laboratories, and industry the opportunity to review and evaluate emerging technologies in a multi-Service environment on a not-to-interfere basis as a risk reduction and verification opportunity during ASCIET evaluations. Requirements and resources for FY 1998 come from Navy PE 0604777N, Army PE 0604817A, Marine PE 0206623M, Air Force PE 0207417F. This program is in budget activity 4 which includes efforts necessary to evaluate integrated technologies in as realistic an operating environment as possible to assess the performance or cost reduction potential of advanced technology.

ASCIET's efforts to evaluate, document, and report on CID capability are a critical force enabler and a Department priority. Perceived inaction on ASCIET-identified deficiencies in CID has forced changes in the command and control of the ASCIET organization. During FY 1998, the organization was moved under the Joint Staff to ensure a coordinated, synergistic approach for improving warfighting capability into the 2<sup>†</sup> century. By evaluating interoperability, technology application and development, and training, the new organization will provide the means to efficiently assess ground, air, and maritime force capabilities; determine future requirements; develop new systems; and develop a program for long-term procurement. (From inception through FY 1998, ASCIET has been funded annually by all four Services through a Memorandum of Agreement.)

The ASCIET staff was directed by the General Officers' Steering Committee-Combat Identification (GOSC-CI), in the summer of FY 1997, to conduct joint Service site surveys to find an operational area that better supports all four Services\*CINC mission area objectives, supports evaluation of all four combat ID mission areas simultaneously, and can be fully instrumented to record data. In March of 1998, the JROC selected FT Stewart/East Coast as the ASCIET 99 evaluation venue and directed ASCIET to conduct a four mission area evaluation in the FT Stewart/East Coast area. During FY 1998, ASCIET did not have an evaluation due to venue change: FY 1998 funding was used for planning ASCIET 99, production of final report for ASCIET 97, contractor, and basic operating costs.

For FY 1999, ASCIET was directed by the JROC to increase the scope of the surface-to-surface (from company to battalion size elements) and also expand emphasis on air-to-surface evaluation. In order to meet these requirements, the Services will provided an additional 4.2M funding needed for the expanded evaluation.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item J		Date: February 2000							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLA			ervice					
RDT&E, Defense Wide, Joint Staff/BA 4	Combat Identification Ev	valuation Team (A	ASCIET)						
ASCIET transfers from The Joint Staff to Joint Forces Command for FY	2000-2005.								
FY 1999 Description									
\$7.200 Evaluation support: contracts for instrumentation/transport/reexpenses, augmentees for evaluation manpower support (FA) equipment, etc. 2 Oct 98 – 30 Mar 99	ntal equipment (track costs) A, security, weapons system	, participant trave ms specialist), mil	l, billeting, and per diem itary vehicles, loading/u	nloading					
ASCIET operations: supplies, computer/upgrades, copiers, faxes, phone, members travel, base support, etc.  4.631 Annual contracts: research of emerging technologies, analysis of data from evaluation.  Conferences to plan evaluation: IPC, air space, opposing force, site visit, mid-term conference, final planning conference (FPC), concept or analysis of data from evaluation.									
operations. 4 Oct 98– 30 Aug 99 \$12.914 Total									
\$0 ASCIET transferred to Joint Forces Command (Navy Execution)	ve Agent) in FY2000.								
<u>FY 2001</u> \$0									
<u>FY 2002</u> \$0									
B. Program Change Summary:									
FY 1999 President's Budget FY 1999 Appropriated Value Adjustments to Appropriated Value:	<u>FY 1999</u> 12.976 12.976	FY 2000 13.231	FY 2001						
<ul> <li>a. Reallocation of Non-programmatic Reductions</li> <li>b. Congressional Non-Programmatic Adj/Inflation</li> <li>c. Transfer to U.S. Joint Forces Command (Navy)</li> <li>FY 2000 President's Budget</li> </ul>	062 12.914	-13.231 0	0						
1-1 2000 Flesidents Budget	12.714	U	U						
Reason for Change: Reductions reflect program's share of Congressional undistributed reductio FY2005.	ns and inflation. Program t	ransfers to Joint F	Forces Command in FY2	000 –					
C. Other Program Funding Summary: NA									

## R-1 Shopping List-Item No. 71

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification

Date: February 2000

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE Program Element 0603857J All Service

RDT&E, Defense Wide, Joint Staff/BA 4

Combat Identification Evaluation Team (ASCIET)

## D. Evaluation Strategy:

In FY 1999, ASCIET conducted an evaluation of all four Services' combat ID capabilities in an instrumented, tactical environment encompassing all combat ID mission areas: surface-to-surface, air-to-surface, surface-to-air, and air-to-air. This evaluation examined, investigated, and assessed various concepts of combat ID on the battlefield with existing and developmental TTP and recommend solutions to combat ID deficiencies. This evaluation utilized active, guard, and reserve personnel with currently fielded equipment in a scenario robust enough to produce shooter-level "fog of war" yet small enough to be fully instrumented. Planning included coordination with the Services, battle laboratories, doctrine commands, and tactics schools. The ASCIET 99 evaluation also provided a joint environment/scenario for data gathering by emerging technologies. The evaluation had a daily 5-hour vulnerability window, and included both day and night operations, an extensive TADIL-J network incorporating Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS) aircraft, return to force aircraft, close air support missions over a maneuvering battlefield, joint theater missile defense and joint combat search and rescue. The evaluation utilized actual Soviet aircraft and vehicles for opposition force realism. ASCIET analyzed resulting data to quantify combat ID capabilities/shortfalls and identify opportunities for TTP development and systems improvement.

E. Schedule Profile: Not applicable. ASCIET conducts an annual combat ID evaluation, employing assets from all four armed Services, to evaluate comba ID and mission effectiveness of representative forces using currently fielded systems in a realistic environment. Three major planning conferences involving Service participants as well as mini-evaluations, demonstrations, and rehearsals are used as a prelude to live evaluation to reduce technical risk, develop procedures and architectures, and refine operational plans.

Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page 1)								D	Date: February 2000				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY RTD&E PROGRAM ELEMENT 0603857J							P	PROJECT NAME AND NUMBER ASCIET					
BA4													
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total		FY 00		FY 01		FY 02			Target	
(Tailor to WBS, or	Method	Activity &	PYs	FY	Award	FY	Award	FY	Award	Cost To	Total	Value of	
System/Item Requirements)	& Type	Location	Cost	00	Date	01	Date	02	Date	Complete	Cost	Contract	
				Cost *		Cost		Cost					
Operational Test & Evaluation	C/FP SAIC		7.538	0		0		0	Oct	Cont			
	MIPR	EGLIN AFB											
Operational Test & Evaluation	SS/CPFF	Stanford	2.855	0		0		0	Jun	Cont			
	MIPR	Research Inst.											
		Menlo Park CA											
Operational Test & Evaluation	SS/FP	MEVATEC	1.81	0		0		0	Jan	Cont			
	PO	EGLIN AFB											
Evaluation other Costs		Savannah GA	6.000	0		0		0					
Travel & Conferences		ASCIET/Various		0		0		0		Cont			
Operational Costs/Research		ASCIET/Various	1.899	0		0		0		Cont			
Subtotal T&E			20.976	0		0		0					
Remarks: ASCIET is not a mana requirements of the four armed S										received from	the JRO	C and the	
			1 3		,		,						
* Program has transferred to Join	t Forces Cor	nmand (Navy) for I	FY 00-05.										
					T		- <b>T</b>			•		-	
Total Cost			20.976	0		0		0					
Remarks													